Research on the Current Status, Issues, and Countermeasures of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Course Construction in Higher Education

Jing Xie*

China University of Petroleum (Beijing), Beijing ,102249, China
**Corresponding author:dhxj2002@163.com*

Abstract: *With the increasing demand for innovative talent in society, the construction of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education has become a key link in cultivating students' innovative thinking and practical abilities. This paper analyzes the current status of innovation and entrepreneurship course construction in higher education, explores the issues related to course content, teaching resources, and faculty, and proposes optimization strategies. Currently, universities face several challenges, including single course content, insufficient teaching resources, and inadequate faculty capabilities. There is an urgent need to improve the curriculum design, enhance the development of teaching resources, and raise the overall capabilities of faculty to improve teaching quality. This paper aims to provide a theoretical basis and practical guidance for improving innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education, with the goal of cultivating innovative talent that better meets societal needs.*

Keywords: *Higher education; Innovation and entrepreneurship courses; Curriculum system; Teaching resources; Faculty; Optimization strategies*

Introduction

With the rapid changes in the global economy and the fast development of technology, innovation and entrepreneurship capabilities have become essential requirements for talent in modern society. As the cradle of talent cultivation, higher education institutions bear the important responsibility of nurturing innovative and entrepreneurial talent. Innovation and entrepreneurship education not only has a profound impact on students' personal development but also serves as a crucial driving force for national economic growth and social progress. However, the construction of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in China's higher education system still faces many shortcomings, such as the lack of scientific course content, outdated teaching resources, and underdeveloped faculty capabilities, resulting in students' insufficient development of innovative thinking and entrepreneurial skills. Therefore, it is important to study the current status, issues, and optimization strategies for innovation and entrepreneurship courses, and to explore more effective educational models. This research holds significant theoretical and practical value.

1. Current Status of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Course Construction in Higher Education

1.1 Characteristics and Current Status of Course Setup

As the demand for innovative talent continues to grow, the establishment of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education has gradually become an important approach for cultivating students' innovative thinking and practical abilities. At present, most universities offer a range of courses such as basic innovation and entrepreneurship courses, entrepreneurship management courses, and industry-specific courses. These courses aim to enhance students' entrepreneurial awareness, innovative capabilities, and practical skills, in line with the societal demand for multidisciplinary talent. [1]

However, there are several issues in the course setup. First, some universities still focus primarily

on the delivery of theoretical knowledge, lacking in-depth exploration of innovative thinking and entrepreneurial practice. In particular, the course content is relatively weak in areas like innovation methods, business model design, and the integration of professional knowledge. Second, the course offerings are often single in scope, and fail to closely align with the rapidly developing fields of technology, the market, and societal needs. Although some institutions have attempted to enhance practical skills through initiatives like hands-on courses and innovation competitions, these efforts have not yet resulted in a systematic course framework. Furthermore, there are significant differences in the content of innovation and entrepreneurship courses across various disciplines, and the integration across different fields remains insufficient. The design of the courses still tends to follow disciplinary boundaries, which limits the overall effectiveness.

1.2 Analysis of Faculty and Teaching Resources

The quality of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education is directly related to the capabilities of the faculty. Currently, the teaching teams for these courses are composed of both full-time and part-time instructors. Full-time teachers often possess strong academic backgrounds and teaching experience, but they tend to lack practical experience in innovation and entrepreneurship. Especially when the courses need to address actual industry and business requirements, some faculty members' knowledge and practical experience may not be sufficient to meet the demands of course teaching. The introduction of part-time teachers can help fill certain gaps in practical experience; however, their limited time and energy often prevent the formation of a stable and long-term teaching team.

In terms of teaching resources, many universities still rely on traditional classroom-based teaching methods, and teaching equipment and experimental platforms have not been fully optimized. Although some universities have begun to build innovation laboratories, maker spaces, and other practical platforms, the overall number and quality of these resources still need improvement. The exploration of cross-disciplinary resource integration, university-industry collaboration, and the construction of innovation and entrepreneurship practice bases is still in its early stages, leading to inefficiencies in the use and impact of teaching resources.

1.3 Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Course System Operation

The effectiveness of an innovation and entrepreneurship course system is primarily reflected in the enhancement of students' innovative consciousness, entrepreneurial capabilities, and employability. According to existing evaluations, most universities' innovation and entrepreneurship courses have, to some extent, increased students' innovative thinking and entrepreneurial intentions. However, there remains a gap between the actual and expected outcomes of the course systems. On one hand, the innovation training provided in the classroom often focuses on theoretical knowledge, and lacks practical opportunities, resulting in students' insufficient readiness to face real-world entrepreneurial environments. On the other hand, the learning outcomes of innovation and entrepreneurship courses often depend on students' autonomy and interest, and the rigidity of course content and structure makes it difficult for some students to actively participate in innovation practices. Consequently, the universality and sustainability of the course outcomes are constrained. [2]

In some universities, innovation and entrepreneurship courses attempt to combine industry, academia, and research through enterprise internships and entrepreneurial project competitions. However, due to the lack of a systematic teaching model and scientific evaluation system, the evaluation of the overall effectiveness often remains superficial and does not adequately reflect the actual improvement in students' innovation and entrepreneurship abilities. Therefore, further improvements are needed in the evaluation mechanism for innovation and entrepreneurship courses, particularly in assessing practical skills and market adaptability, as a comprehensive feedback system has yet to be established.

2. Problems in the Construction of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Courses in Higher Education

2.1 Lack of Scientific and Complete Course Content System

Although the content system of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education has

increased in quantity and diversity, its scientific rigor and completeness are still significantly lacking. Many universities focus mainly on teaching basic entrepreneurship knowledge and management skills, with limited in-depth exploration of topics such as innovation methodologies, market demand analysis, and business model development. As a result, the course content fails to effectively bridge the gap between theoretical depth and practical guidance. The course system lacks a systematic design and often merely combines various field-specific course contents without an overall plan or integration, failing to form a clear knowledge framework. Furthermore, there are substantial problems with interdisciplinary integration and cross-field cooperation in the curriculum. Innovation and entrepreneurship education should not be limited to business schools or management disciplines; other fields, such as engineering, medicine, and the arts, should also focus on integrating innovation and entrepreneurship into their curricula. However, at present, most universities' innovation and entrepreneurship courses are still concentrated in traditional management disciplines, and elements of innovation and entrepreneurship have not been widely introduced into courses from other fields. This limits the scientific rigor and completeness of the curriculum, making it difficult to meet the needs of students from different academic backgrounds.

2.2 Limitations of Teaching Resources and Platforms

Although some universities have started investing in innovation and entrepreneurship education, the limitations of teaching resources and platforms remain a key factor restricting the effective implementation of these courses. Firstly, the current number of innovation and entrepreneurship experimental platforms, maker spaces, and business incubators is insufficient, and most of these platforms primarily focus on providing physical space, lacking adequate technical support and resource integration capabilities. As a result, these platforms cannot fully serve their intended teaching functions, and students are unable to gain meaningful innovation and entrepreneurship experience from practical engagement. Furthermore, the construction of these platforms often lacks systematic design and long-term planning, which significantly diminishes their operational effectiveness and teaching value.

In terms of interdisciplinary resource integration, there are also significant limitations. Although some universities have attempted to enrich teaching resources through university-industry cooperation, off-campus entrepreneurial bases, and other initiatives, overall cross-disciplinary and cross-field cooperation remains weak. The depth and breadth of university-business cooperation are insufficient, with many universities engaging with businesses only at a superficial level, lacking deep collaborative models and effective resource-sharing mechanisms. The updating speed of teaching content is slow, making it difficult to keep pace with the changing demands of the market. Additionally, universities have not fully utilized emerging technologies such as the internet and big data to improve the quality of course delivery.

2.3 Gaps in Faculty Abilities and Training Mechanisms

There are still significant gaps in the construction of faculty teams for innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education, particularly in terms of teachers' practical entrepreneurial experience and teaching abilities. Many innovation and entrepreneurship courses are taught by professors from traditional academic disciplines. Although these teachers possess solid academic backgrounds, they tend to lack practical entrepreneurial experience, market analysis skills, and business operation expertise. The core of innovation and entrepreneurship education lies in practice and hands-on experience, but traditional academic-oriented teachers are often unable to provide sufficient real-world experience and authentic entrepreneurial case studies, making the course content lack the necessary practical guidance.

At the same time, the existing faculty development mechanisms have not effectively supported the needs of innovation and entrepreneurship education. Most teacher training systems focus on academic research and teaching methods, with insufficient systematic training related to innovation and entrepreneurship. Particularly, training and support for part-time teachers are lacking, which results in their limited involvement in classroom teaching and low teaching effectiveness. Moreover, teacher evaluation mechanisms mainly emphasize academic research achievements and classroom teaching quality, lacking assessments and incentives for teachers' practical innovation and entrepreneurship abilities. As a result, some teachers' teaching motivation and innovative teaching capabilities are not fully realized. [3]

The shortcomings in faculty development limit the quality of innovation and entrepreneurship

courses and hinder the enhancement of students' practical skills. Addressing how to strengthen teachers' practical abilities, improve teacher development mechanisms, and motivate teachers to engage in innovation and entrepreneurship education has become an urgent issue for higher education institutions.

3. Optimizing the Pathways for Innovation and Entrepreneurship Course Construction in Higher Education

3.1 Optimizing the Course System Design

To improve the teaching effectiveness of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education, optimizing the course system design is crucial. The goal of innovation and entrepreneurship education is not only to impart basic knowledge but also to emphasize the cultivation of students' innovative abilities and practical skills. Therefore, the optimization of the course system should focus on the following two aspects:

3.1.1 Comprehensive and Systematic Design of Course Content

The design of the innovation and entrepreneurship course system should be planned systematically at the macro level, clearly defining its core objectives and teaching framework. The course content needs to cover the full cycle of education, from foundational theories to advanced skills, ensuring that students can deeply engage in the development of innovative thinking, business model design, project management, market analysis, and risk control. This system should not only include traditional entrepreneurship theories and management knowledge but also closely integrate emerging technologies, market changes, and industry trends, providing students with forward-looking, practical educational content. For example, the curriculum should incorporate the application of modern technological tools such as artificial intelligence and big data analysis to foster students' ability to make data-driven decisions. Additionally, the course should emphasize the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills, helping students become agile decision-makers in complex market environments. Through systematic course design, students will be able to establish comprehensive innovation and entrepreneurship capabilities across multiple dimensions of knowledge, ultimately becoming well-rounded and capable future entrepreneurs. [4]

3.1.2 Modular Design and Flexible Adaptation

To address the learning needs and developmental stages of different student groups, the course content should be designed in a modular fashion to enhance flexibility and adaptability. For beginners, the curriculum should focus on basic innovation thinking training and stimulating entrepreneurial awareness to help students build confidence and increase market sensitivity. For students with some foundational knowledge, the curriculum should strengthen practical skills, improve entrepreneurial project execution capabilities, and enhance adaptability, further improving their practical skills and project management competence. Moreover, the course structure should have the flexibility to dynamically adjust in response to industry needs and market changes. By designing flexible modules, students will not only gain practical experience based on their mastery of innovation and entrepreneurship theories but also have the opportunity to continuously adjust their mindset and work methods during the learning process, thus improving their ability to solve real-world problems. This adaptable course structure helps cultivate students' innovation consciousness and enhances their adaptability in a rapidly changing market environment.

3.2 Strengthening the Innovative Development of Teaching Resources

The quality and effectiveness of innovation and entrepreneurship courses are closely related to the richness and innovation of teaching resources. To enhance educational quality, the development of teaching resources should be expanded in the following two ways:

3.2.1 Building Diversified Innovative Teaching Platforms

Higher education institutions should actively promote the construction of modern teaching tools, such as virtual entrepreneurship labs and entrepreneurship simulation platforms, to provide students with a flexible and interactive learning environment. These innovative platforms can simulate the entire process of starting a business, including market research, product design, and fundraising, allowing students to experiment and accumulate practical experience in a risk-free setting. Through these platforms, students can deeply experience every aspect of entrepreneurship, quickly adapt to market

changes, and optimize business strategies. Furthermore, these platforms, powered by digital tools, can provide real-time data analysis and feedback to help students better understand the key factors in the entrepreneurial process and cultivate their strategic thinking and decision-making skills. [5]

3.2.2 Deepening University-Industry Cooperation and Promoting Industry-Academia Integration

University-industry cooperation is one of the key ways to develop innovation and entrepreneurship education resources. Higher education institutions should establish in-depth cooperation mechanisms with industry enterprises, entrepreneurial mentors, and other external resources to promote the integration of industry, academia, and research. Through customized course content and practical projects, students can closely align with industry trends and gain a deeper understanding of corporate needs and market changes, thereby mastering the actual operational skills of innovation and entrepreneurship. For example, innovation and entrepreneurship projects can be designed in close alignment with industry needs, allowing students to solve real-world problems in authentic business environments and accumulate valuable experience. In addition, the involvement of industry mentors can provide students with cutting-edge entrepreneurial guidance and help them understand how to integrate theory with practice, thus enhancing their competitiveness in the market. This university-industry cooperation model effectively shortens the distance between students and the industry, enabling students to gain more accurate entrepreneurial guidance and practical experience during their learning process, thereby fostering both their innovative thinking and practical skills.

3.2.3 Online Resource Development Driven by Information Technology

With the continuous advancement of information technology, the development of online teaching resources has become an important direction for improving the quality of innovation and entrepreneurship education. Universities should fully leverage advanced technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence, and cloud computing to establish intelligent online teaching platforms that integrate both online and offline educational resources, creating an efficient and interactive learning environment. These platforms enable students to engage in autonomous learning anytime and anywhere, participate in real-time online discussions, teamwork, and project management, greatly enhancing the flexibility and efficiency of learning. Through intelligent learning systems, students can tailor their learning to their own pace, receive timely feedback and guidance, and improve their problem-solving skills. Moreover, the interactivity and openness of online platforms not only provide students with a broader learning space but also foster knowledge sharing and interdisciplinary exchange, enhancing their self-learning capabilities and innovation consciousness. The use of information technology makes innovation and entrepreneurship education more efficient, flexible, and forward-looking, offering students richer learning resources and practical opportunities.

3.3 Enhancing the Comprehensive Abilities of the Teaching Faculty

The quality of innovation and entrepreneurship courses is closely related to the comprehensive abilities of the teaching faculty. To improve teaching standards, higher education institutions should comprehensively enhance faculty capabilities in the following two ways:

3.3.1 Cultivating Teachers' Practical Experience in Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Higher education institutions should focus on cultivating teachers' practical experience in innovation and entrepreneurship, especially by recruiting part-time faculty members with rich industry experience, such as entrepreneurs and business owners, to complement the practical experience gap of full-time faculty. By inviting industry experts to participate in teaching, teachers can gain first-hand insights into market dynamics and better understand how to combine classroom knowledge with real-world case studies. Additionally, existing faculty development programs should emphasize enhancing teachers' abilities in innovative thinking and entrepreneurship project management to better integrate practical applications into their teaching and improve the practicality and guidance of the courses. [6]

3.3.2 Long-Term Faculty Development and Incentive Mechanisms

Higher education institutions should establish long-term faculty development mechanisms, organizing regular workshops, academic exchanges, and industry internships to promote continuous professional development in the field of innovation and entrepreneurship. Universities should also set up innovation and entrepreneurship education training centers, regularly hosting training sessions on teaching methods, curriculum updates, and other relevant topics to improve faculty teaching capabilities and curriculum adaptability.

Meanwhile, establishing effective faculty evaluation and incentive mechanisms is also crucial. Universities should develop evaluation systems that align with the goals of innovation and entrepreneurship courses, focusing not only on academic achievements but also on assessing faculty members' practical abilities and teaching effectiveness in innovation and entrepreneurship education. By implementing a multidimensional evaluation system, universities can motivate faculty to continuously enhance their teaching capabilities in innovation and entrepreneurship, ensuring that course content is continually optimized and teaching quality is continually improved.

In conclusion, higher education institutions should not only optimize course system design and develop innovative teaching resources but also focus on enhancing the capabilities of their teaching faculty. By effectively implementing these measures, universities will be able to cultivate outstanding entrepreneurial talents with innovative thinking, practical abilities, and market competitiveness, thereby promoting the sustained development of innovation and entrepreneurship education.

Conclusion

In the future, the construction of innovation and entrepreneurship courses in higher education should focus on the systematic design of course content and interdisciplinary integration, strengthen the innovation and diversification of teaching resources, and improve the practical abilities and cross-disciplinary teaching capabilities of the faculty. Universities should improve course structures, promote university-industry cooperation, and develop online and virtual teaching platforms to provide students with richer and more flexible learning experiences. Additionally, the cultivation and incentive mechanisms for teachers should be continuously optimized to foster professional development and practical experience accumulation in innovation and entrepreneurship education. Through these measures, universities can better meet society's demand for well-rounded talent and provide stronger support for students' innovation and entrepreneurship abilities.

Fund Project

2022 Annual University-Level Key Education and Teaching Reform Project, Teaching Reform Project Title: Construction and Practice of an Integrated Cultivation System for Innovation, Entrepreneurship Education and Professional Education in the Energy Sector, Project Number: XM1072022137.

References

[1] Li, H. (2023). Fusion and Innovation: Research on the Construction of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Course System in Higher Education. Chinese University Education, (3), 42-51.
[2] Xiao, L., & Yan, J. (2023). The Development Trend, Challenges, and Construction Path of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education in China's Higher Education Institutions in the Sports Industry. Education and Occupation, (46), 65-77.
[3] Hu, J. (2024). The Logical Origins and Strategic Construction of University Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education Course System. Journal of Harbin College, 45(08), 131-135.
[4] Cao, Y. (2024). The Construction of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Course System in Local Universities under the New Engineering Background. Innovation and Entrepreneurship Education, 15(04), 133-140.
[5] Liu, Y. (2024). Research on the Construction Path of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Courses with a Focus on Industry-Academia Integration. Science Consultation (Science & Management), (09), 85-88.
[6] Pan, R., & Lu, Y. (2024). Research on the Construction and Approaches of Innovation and Entrepreneurship Courses in Application-Oriented Universities Based on OBE Concepts. Popular Science and Technology, 26(05), 144-147.