The Application of Post-Project Evaluation in Enterprise Engineering Cost Management

Danfan Ye*

Nanchang County Urban Public Utility Construction Investment Co., Ltd., Nanchang, 330200, China
**Corresponding author:13755796348@163.com*

Abstract: *With the increasing complexity of modern engineering project management, the application of post-project evaluation in enterprise engineering cost management has become increasingly important. Through a systematic evaluation mechanism, post-project evaluation analyzes and provides feedback on the project's implementation effectiveness, cost control, and resource allocation, offering valuable experience for subsequent project management. This study explores the basic concepts, methods, and functions of post-project evaluation, analyzes the current application of post-evaluation in enterprise cost management and the existing problems, and proposes specific strategies such as constructing a scientific evaluation index system, optimizing data collection methods, and strengthening the feedback mechanism. Post-project evaluation plays a significant role in standardized management, improving project quality and cost efficiency, and also provides theoretical support for the innovation of future project management in enterprises.*

Keywords: *post-project evaluation, engineering cost management, cost control, feedback mechanism, data analysis*

Introduction

In the field of engineering project management, cost management is a key factor affecting enterprise cost-effectiveness and project quality. As project scales continue to expand, traditional cost management methods are no longer sufficient to meet the complex demands of modern engineering, especially after the completion of a project. How to systematically summarize and optimize management experience has become a focal point. Therefore, the application of post-project evaluation in enterprise engineering cost management is particularly important. Post-project evaluation not only helps comprehensively assess the cost-effectiveness and quality control of a project, but also effectively summarizes the shortcomings in the project implementation process, providing a basis for future projects.

1. Basic Theories and Methods of Post-Project Evaluation

1.1 Concept of Post-Project Evaluation

Post-project evaluation refers to the systematic and objective analysis and assessment of a project's overall implementation, resource input, cost-effectiveness, and management results after the project has been completed. Its main purpose is to provide valuable references and guidance for future projects by comprehensively reviewing and analyzing various aspects of the project, revealing successful experiences, existing deficiencies, and potential areas for improvement in project management. Unlike mid-project evaluations or real-time monitoring, which focus on immediate progress and adjustments, post-project evaluation is based on final data from the complete project cycle and examines the project's performance indicators from a historical perspective. The comprehensiveness of post-project evaluation gives it unique value in identifying management gaps, summarizing effective strategies, and improving organizational management levels[1].

In enterprise engineering cost management, post-project evaluation is particularly important. By precisely reviewing project costs, examining the rationality of resource allocation, and systematically analyzing cost control methods, enterprises can obtain objective data support regarding cost optimization, resource allocation, and risk control. This post-project evaluation-based cost management process can significantly improve the standardization and scientific nature of project management in enterprises and help build a more comprehensive cost control system, thereby enhancing the enterprise’s competitiveness

in the market.

1.2 Role and Value of Post-Project Evaluation

The role and value of post-project evaluation in enterprise engineering cost management are reflected in several aspects, offering significant management benefits. First, post-project evaluation improves the accuracy of cost management. By systematically analyzing the differences between actual costs and budgeted costs, enterprises can identify the specific causes of budget deviations, thereby optimizing future cost control strategies and forecasting methods for more scientific and rational cost control. Second, post-project evaluation is an effective way for enterprises to accumulate management experience and risk control data. The evaluation reveals the actual effects of cost control, schedule management, and quality assurance, enabling enterprises to reasonably allocate resources and reduce risks in subsequent projects.

Third, post-project evaluation has unique value in enhancing the transparency of enterprise management. Through objective and systematic evaluation, management and stakeholders can intuitively understand the overall performance of a project and make more reasonable decisions based on scientific data. Additionally, post-project evaluation has a positive significance for the long-term strategic development of enterprises. By continually summarizing, improving management models, and optimizing cost management processes, enterprises can gradually form a unique project management system and standardized processes, strengthening their competitive advantage in the market. This ongoing accumulation of experience and optimization not only helps enterprises address the diversified needs of future projects but also provides strategic support for improving overall management levels.

1.3 Common Methods of Post-Project Evaluation

Post-project evaluation typically combines various methods to ensure the comprehensiveness, objectivity, and accuracy of the evaluation process. Common methods include data comparison, trend analysis, cost-benefit analysis, analytic hierarchy process, and expert consultation.

The data comparison method involves comparing key data such as actual costs with budgeted costs and actual progress with planned progress. Project managers can accurately identify deviations in the project execution process to assess the effectiveness of cost control. This method emphasizes revealing potential management flaws through direct data comparison, providing practical data support for enterprises.

Trend analysis involves performing vertical analysis of historical trends in multiple project data to identify patterns of change in cost control, resource utilization efficiency, project efficiency, and other aspects. Trend analysis not only provides decision-making references for long-term project management but also helps enterprises identify potential improvement opportunities, gradually optimizing their cost management strategies.

Cost-benefit analysis involves quantifying the comparison of a project's inputs and outputs. This method accurately measures the project's overall performance in terms of cost and benefit, helping enterprises evaluate the rationality and economic efficiency of resource allocation. It provides theoretical support for cost control strategies in future projects, ensuring that enterprises find a balance between costs and benefits.

The analytic hierarchy process breaks down cost management into multiple evaluation levels across different dimensions (e.g., cost control, quality assurance, risk management). This method systematically reveals the performance of various indicators in project management, offering a more comprehensive and multidimensional reflection of project implementation effects[2].

Expert consultation involves inviting experienced experts to participate in post-project evaluation. With their professional knowledge and industry experience, these experts provide targeted evaluations and suggestions for the project. Expert insights help uncover deep-seated issues that data analysis may not reveal, supporting the accuracy of the evaluation results.

2. Current Status of Post-Project Evaluation Application in Enterprise Engineering Cost Management

2.1 Practical Status of Post-Project Evaluation in Enterprise Cost Management

In the field of enterprise engineering cost management, post-project evaluation has gradually become an important tool for improving management efficiency and optimizing cost control. Many enterprises

have begun to recognize the value of post-project evaluation, incorporating it into project management processes to summarize project experiences and optimize management methods. However, there are still some limitations in the current practice of post-project evaluation, mainly focused on large-scale or key projects, while the systematic application of post-project evaluation in small and medium-sized projects is relatively lacking. This leads to a lack of data accumulation in overall management, hindering comprehensive optimization of cost management. Large projects, due to greater resource investment, typically contain rich process data and detailed post-project evaluation records, while small and medium-sized projects often lack corresponding post-project evaluation processes due to budget and manpower constraints, affecting the comprehensive accumulation of cost data. Additionally, some enterprises excessively rely on qualitative analysis and experience-based summaries in post-project evaluations, lacking quantitative indicators and scientific methods, which makes the evaluation results difficult to achieve the expected accuracy and objectivity.

In practice, many enterprises still exhibit a "focus on implementation, neglect evaluation" phenomenon, where there is insufficient attention to post-project evaluation after completion. Many enterprises focus on early and mid-term planning and control in cost management, but invest little in post-project evaluation during the project closing phase, failing to form a complete feedback loop. As a process of feedback and improvement, the importance of post-project evaluation lies in its guiding role for future projects. Therefore, without a scientific post-project evaluation process, enterprises will struggle to systematically summarize experiences and apply them to subsequent projects, weakening their competitiveness in the market. Nevertheless, the gradual introduction of post-project evaluation has driven the standardized development of enterprise cost management, improved the efficiency and quality of project summaries, and laid the foundation for the continuous optimization of project management.

2.2 Main Issues in the Application of Post-Project Evaluation

Although the application of post-project evaluation in enterprise engineering cost management has been expanding, it still faces many issues in practice. The first problem is data collection and information incompleteness. After a project is completed, due to the lack of a systematic data management and information-sharing mechanism, evaluators often find it difficult to obtain complete and accurate data, leading to evaluation results that are not comprehensive or objective. Data incompleteness may stem from insufficient information recording during the project process or decentralized data storage, which severely affects the scientific nature of the evaluation. Secondly, the lack of unified evaluation standards is another major barrier to the application of post-project evaluation. Different enterprises have significant differences in the indicators, weights, and standards used in post-project evaluation, lacking a scientific and systematic evaluation index system. This results in a lack of comparability in post-project evaluation results between projects, limiting horizontal comparisons within enterprises and affecting the sharing and promotion of industry experiences[3].

Moreover, enterprises often have a "focus on implementation, neglect evaluation" tendency, where the emphasis is placed on project execution and control, while the summary and reflection after project completion are ignored, leading to evaluation results that are not fully applied in future projects. This phenomenon results in post-project evaluations failing to produce substantial impacts on future project management, reducing the practical value of the evaluations. Finally, the lack of specialized evaluators is also an important issue. Some enterprises do not establish dedicated post-project evaluation teams, leading to a lack of professionalism in the evaluation process and insufficient systematic analysis depth. Therefore, to realize the full value of post-project evaluation, enterprises need to strengthen data management, unify evaluation standards, and build specialized evaluation teams to ensure the scientific and practical nature of post-project evaluation work.

2.3 Application Value of Post-Project Evaluation

Despite the multiple challenges faced in the practical application of post-project evaluation, its value in enterprise engineering cost management is very significant. First, through systematic post-project evaluation, enterprises can comprehensively analyze key data such as cost control and resource allocation efficiency in project execution, providing reasonable cost management optimization plans for future projects. This systematic analysis helps enterprises identify various issues encountered during project management and formulate targeted improvement measures. Secondly, post-project evaluation reveals deficiencies in project management, helping enterprises improve cost, schedule, and quality control, thus enhancing overall management efficiency and economic benefits. For example, by evaluating projects with cost overruns and schedule delays, enterprises can identify the specific reasons for cost overruns,

allowing better budget control in future projects.

Furthermore, post-project evaluation helps enterprises accumulate valuable project management experience and provides basic data support for establishing a scientific cost management system. These data not only help improve the enterprise's cost management level but also summarize industry trends and project implementation patterns through historical data comparisons, offering strategic guidance for the enterprise's long-term development. By applying post-project evaluation results to subsequent projects, enterprises can continuously optimize cost management processes, improve management scientificity and standardization, and ultimately achieve sustainable project management development[4].

3. Specific Application Strategies of Post-Project Evaluation in Enterprise Engineering Cost Management

3.1 Building a Scientific Evaluation Index System

In post-project evaluation for enterprise engineering cost management, building a scientific evaluation index system is crucial, as it directly affects the comprehensiveness, accuracy, and guiding value of the evaluation for project optimization. The evaluation index system should cover the traditional three elements of cost, schedule, and quality to ensure that the basic performance goals of the project are reasonably considered. In addition, comprehensive indicators such as resource utilization efficiency, risk management effectiveness, and innovation management outcomes should be included to enhance the comprehensiveness and practicality of the evaluation. Resource utilization efficiency can measure whether the project has optimized resource input, ensuring the rationality of resource allocation; risk management effectiveness evaluates the enterprise's effectiveness in dealing with project risks, providing valuable risk control experience; innovation management outcomes help identify and summarize innovative practices in the project, offering insights for future project innovation.

The evaluation system should not only include quantitative indicators but also incorporate certain qualitative analysis indicators. Quantitative indicators ensure the objectivity and quantifiable analysis of the results, while qualitative indicators can make up for details that are difficult to present through quantification, helping to better understand the challenges and opportunities in project management. For example, customer satisfaction, team collaboration effectiveness, and compliance with management processes can serve as qualitative indicators, assisting enterprises in understanding the soft factors in project operations. Additionally, building a flexible graded index system, adjusting the weights and standards based on the characteristics of different projects, such as focusing on risk management for high-risk projects and emphasizing cost control for cost-sensitive projects, ensures refined management of the evaluation. This system provides basic support for the practicality of post-project evaluation and lays a scientific foundation for the continuous optimization of project management processes.

3.2 Improving Data Collection and Analysis Methods

The completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of data directly affect the scientific and effective nature of post-project evaluation. Therefore, improving data collection and analysis methods is fundamental to enhancing the quality of post-project evaluation, especially in the context of increasing scale and complexity of modern engineering projects. Enterprises need to focus on systematic data management. They can establish an integrated database system to collect and store data from each project phase, from initiation, implementation, to completion, ensuring seamless connectivity among various business systems (such as finance, procurement, and project management). This integrated system not only ensures data completeness but also strengthens data traceability, making it easier for subsequent evaluation and analysis, and forming a systematic project data archive[5].

To improve data analysis accuracy, enterprises can also introduce big data analysis and artificial intelligence technologies. Using intelligent algorithms, enterprises can extract valuable information from large project datasets, such as potential risk factors, causes of cost overruns, and resource optimization paths. For example, trend analysis of historical data can reveal common issues in project management, helping management make more accurate decisions. Data analysis should also focus on comparisons across multiple projects to identify performance differences in cost, efficiency, and quality, providing support for enterprises in summarizing directions for cost management optimization. Through multidimensional data analysis, enterprises can gradually build a cost management evaluation system that meets their needs, continuously improving management efficiency and decision-making capabilities.

3.3 Strengthening Feedback Mechanisms and Application of Results

The substantial value of post-project evaluation lies in whether its results can be effectively applied in subsequent projects. Therefore, establishing a complete feedback mechanism is a crucial measure to ensure that the results of post-project evaluation can be translated into practical improvements. The feedback mechanism should not only ensure that evaluation results are promptly communicated to the project management team but also promote the deep application of these results in project implementation. Enterprises can establish a clear feedback system in the management process, incorporating post-project evaluation results into decision-making support for new projects in a timely manner. For example, successful management strategies, budget control measures, and risk response actions can be compiled into standardized guidelines for reference in future projects, ensuring that similar projects avoid previous mistakes or optimize management processes.

Moreover, the feedback mechanism should encourage the sharing and exchange of experiences among teams. Enterprises can regularly organize evaluation result sharing meetings, where project team members can exchange management improvements, insights, and lessons learned from post-project evaluations, forming a shared knowledge base. This not only strengthens the cross-project application of knowledge but also enhances team members' sense of responsibility and service quality. Through such a feedback mechanism, by continuously summarizing and applying evaluation results, enterprises can gradually build an optimization process based on experience and data, ensuring the continuous improvement of cost management. At the same time, the feedback mechanism makes the post-project evaluation results traceable, improving the practical application of the evaluation and further promoting the development of enterprise cost management[6].

3.4 Training and Management System Optimization

The effective application of post-project evaluation requires a specialized team and a well-established management system. First, enterprises should provide comprehensive professional training for the evaluation team, covering various aspects such as project management, cost control, risk analysis, and data processing, enabling evaluators to possess systematic cost management knowledge and practical skills. The training should particularly emphasize practical operations by introducing real project cases to help team members understand how to address cost management challenges in real-world scenarios. Meanwhile, enterprises can regularly conduct training on new evaluation technologies and methods, such as big data analysis and AI-assisted analysis, to help the evaluation team master advanced analytical tools, improving their efficiency and accuracy in data processing and decision support.

In terms of the management system, enterprises should incorporate post-project evaluation into the routine cost management process and integrate it into the project management system, clearly defining the roles and responsibilities of each department to ensure the smooth progress of evaluation work. Optimizing the management system should also include inviting external expert consultants to provide additional professional support for post-project evaluation. External consultants can use their industry experience and technical expertise to provide references for cost management in complex or special projects, compensating for the lack of experience among internal staff. Through continuous optimization of training and management systems, enterprises can establish a stable and efficient post-project evaluation mechanism, making cost management more systematic and scientific, further enhancing the enterprise's management advantage in engineering projects.

Conclusion

Post-project evaluation in enterprise engineering cost management holds significant application value. Through a scientific evaluation system and a systematic feedback mechanism, a comprehensive assessment of project implementation outcomes can be achieved. This study proposes application strategies such as building an evaluation index system, optimizing data collection, and strengthening feedback and training mechanisms, which effectively improve the efficiency and scientific nature of cost management. However, with the rapid development of information technology, future post-project evaluation systems need to further integrate data intelligence and automation to meet the refined management needs in the context of big data. Future research can further explore the application of artificial intelligence in post-project evaluation to provide more intelligent and accurate evaluation support for enterprise engineering cost management.

References

[1] Ye Shuming, Li Yangan. Research on Post-Project Evaluation of Engineering Construction Projects [J]. Value Engineering, 2023, 42(01): 37-40.
[2] Zhang Jing. Content and Evaluation Methods of Post-Project Evaluation in Construction Engineering Projects [J]. China Industrial Economics, 2021, (22): 42-44.
[3] Liu Liguo, Yuan Yao. Research on the Post-Project Evaluation Index System of Building Engineering Projects [J]. Jushe, 2020, (33): 157-158.
[4] Li Qiang. Exploration of Post-Project Evaluation Methods for Construction Engineering Projects [J]. Low Carbon World, 2020, 10(08): 210-211.
[5] Xie Lele. Discussion on Post-Project Evaluation of Engineering Projects [J]. Guangxi Quality Supervision Guide, 2020, (01): 14.
[6] Niu Xudong, Jiang Feng, Chen Weilong, et al. Research on a Post-Project Evaluation Method for Enterprise Scientific Research Projects [J]. Science and Technology Innovation, 2024, (17): 162-165.