Research on the Integration Path of Human-Machine Collaboration and Community Consciousness Education in the "Corporate Culture" Course in Universities

Xiaoguang Sun[1*], Xiumei Kang[1], Mingchen Ma[2]

[1]*School of Business Administration, Inner Mongolia University of Finance and Economics, Hohhot, 010070, China*
[2]*Inner Mongolia Vocational and Technical College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Hohhot, 010070, China*
[*]*Corresponding author: gsglsxg@126.com*

Abstract: *As the curriculum system in universities continuously evolves towards intelligence and collaboration, how to effectively integrate human-machine collaborative teaching with community consciousness education in the "Corporate Culture" course has become an important issue for improving educational quality and deepening the function of education. This paper takes the "Corporate Culture" course in universities as the research object and explores basic issues such as the course content system and educational function, the logic of human-machine collaborative teaching, and the reshaping of learners' roles. It further analyzes the cognitive structure of community consciousness education and the course delivery path. Based on this, the study proposes a design plan for the integration path, which is based on dynamic embedding, goal alignment orientation, and structural feedback mechanisms, and constructs a course operation mechanism that integrates human-machine systems with identity education content. This paper aims to address the transformation demands of university course educational functions in the digital education environment and provides a theoretical basis and practical insights for the construction of organizational culture education and value identity under intelligent teaching platforms.*

Keywords: *Corporate Culture Course; Human-Machine Collaboration; Community Consciousness; Teaching Integration; Identity Construction*

Introduction

Under the context of the intelligence of higher education and the integration of curricula, traditional course models face the dual challenges of a single value output path and rigid teaching structures. As a key element in general education and vocational literacy education in universities, the "Corporate Culture" course covers areas such as value concepts, organizational behavior, and cultural norms, and naturally has the functions of identity construction and cultural transmission. However, the traditional teacher-centered teaching model has significant limitations in areas such as generating organizational belonging and cultural internalization. With the integration of artificial intelligence and educational technology, the human-machine collaborative teaching model demonstrates strong adaptability in areas such as precise support, dynamic feedback, and structural optimization, and also provides new structural support for community consciousness education. Therefore, it is necessary to systematically explore the integration path of human-machine collaboration and community consciousness education in the "Corporate Culture" course from multidimensional perspectives, such as teaching mechanisms, cognitive structures, and evaluation systems, in order to provide theoretical support and design basis for the simultaneous improvement of the educational function of university courses and technological support capabilities.

1. Human-Machine Collaboration Framework in the "Corporate Culture" Course in Universities

1.1 Analysis of the Course Content System and Educational Function of the "Corporate Culture" Course

The core purpose of offering the "Corporate Culture" course in universities is to guide students in comprehensively understanding the essential components, value orientation, and logical mechanisms of organizational culture through systematic and structured teaching content. This aims to achieve the dual construction of cultural cognition and organizational adaptation abilities. The course content covers multiple modules, including the strategic guidance of corporate mission and vision, systematic interpretation of organizational behavior patterns, the transmission and internalization mechanisms of core values, and the generation and evolution logic of cultural systems, forming a knowledge system that balances theoretical abstraction with practical application transfer. This knowledge network not only provides students with a theoretical framework for corporate culture but also strengthens their understanding and application of cultural symbols and normative systems in complex organizational environments through teaching methods such as case analysis and situational simulations. In doing so, it promotes the construction of cultural identity and the deep internalization of behavioral patterns[1].

From the perspective of educational function, the "Corporate Culture" course not only focuses on students' rational understanding of corporate behavior systems but also emphasizes the dynamic formation of students' organizational sense of belonging, value resonance, and cultural sensitivity. The course design emphasizes the ability to interpret cultural symbol systems and organizational language, helping students form a positive collective identity and cooperative awareness in diverse workplace environments. It also cultivates their sense of responsibility and ability to actively adapt to and participate in organizational culture building. At the same time, the course content system must align closely with the trend of integrating general education and specialized education in universities, promoting the organic unity of personality shaping and social adaptation based on professional knowledge mastery. This drives students to become versatile talents with cultural literacy, organizational understanding, and cross-cultural communication skills.

1.2 Teaching Logic of the Human-Machine Collaboration Model in University Courses

With the rapid integration of artificial intelligence and digital platforms, teaching activities in universities are evolving from a "human-centered" approach to "human-machine collaboration." The human-machine collaborative teaching model has become a new organizational paradigm for teaching in higher education. In this paradigm, teachers and intelligent systems achieve dynamic integration of teaching processes through task allocation, interactive coordination, and feedback co-construction. The course structure also exhibits features such as multidimensional collaboration, process optimization, and enhanced cognitive feedback.

In the case of the "Corporate Culture" course, the teaching logic of human-machine collaboration is mainly reflected in the following ways: On the one hand, teachers construct knowledge structures and value orientations based on the course content, ensuring systematic progress towards teaching objectives. On the other hand, artificial intelligence platforms undertake tasks such as data tracking, semantic recognition, generating feedback, and personalized recommendations, thereby enhancing the adaptability and refinement of the learning process. During the collaborative process, the platform not only acts as a medium for knowledge transmission but also serves as an intelligent factor for cognitive guidance and interactive regulation, achieving precise alignment between teaching content and learning paths.

The core value of this model lies in breaking the structural limitations of traditional teaching, such as "uniform progress" and "passive reception," and constructing a dynamic knowledge distribution system centered around learners. Through role complementarity and feedback loops between humans and machines, the teaching process can be adjusted in real-time, personalized to adapt, and efficiently interactive, providing structural support for achieving course objectives[2].

1.3 Learner Role Reshaping Mechanism Empowered by Artificial Intelligence

With the deep integration of artificial intelligence into course teaching scenarios, the role of the learner is no longer limited to that of a knowledge receiver or executor. Instead, it transforms into an active regulator of the cognitive system, an autonomous constructor of learning paths, and a

collaborative participant in feedback mechanisms. This role shift is not only reflected at the behavioral level but also involves a comprehensive reshaping of cognitive structures, motivational mechanisms, and social interaction strategies.

The "Corporate Culture" course, which emphasizes cultural identity, organizational belonging, and value resonance, naturally adapts to the personalized cognitive path reconstruction mechanism supported by artificial intelligence. With the support of intelligent algorithms, learners can autonomously generate learning content combinations based on their interests, cognitive levels, and learning progress, forming a learning structure with dynamic adjustability. Meanwhile, through natural language processing and data mining technologies, the platform can achieve high-dimensional analysis and deep logical modeling of learning behaviors, prompting learners to reconstruct knowledge maps through real-time feedback and behavioral guidance.

Learner autonomy is not only extended at the operational level but also deeply activated in areas such as knowledge understanding, cultural internalization, and value differentiation. This establishes a multi-directional interaction, intelligent regulation, and cultural identity co-generation learning mechanism, which effectively enhances the adaptability of the course and the depth of cultural education expansion within the human-machine collaborative framework.

2. Cognitive Structure of Community Consciousness Education and Course Delivery Mechanism

2.1 Evolution of the Concept of Community Consciousness and Educational Value Orientation

Community consciousness, as a complex psychological structure rooted in group belonging, identity recognition, and value collaboration, reflects an individual's cognitive framework, emotional attitude, and behavioral tendencies toward collective existence in a specific social, cultural, or organizational environment. This concept originates from the continuous shaping of individual behavioral patterns by social relationship networks, and it has rich connotations with multi-layered, multidimensional intersecting features. It encompasses the social cognitive dimension, emotional attachment dimension, and normative constraint dimension. With the changes in social structures and the dynamic evolution of organizational ecological environments, the traditionally static concept of belonging has gradually shifted toward dynamic identity negotiation and value co-construction, reflecting a more complex and flexible cognitive system[3].

In the field of higher education, especially in organizational learning and collaborative educational practices, community consciousness is not only the psychological foundation for promoting student socialization and group integration but also a key element in achieving the diversification and collaboration of educational goals. Its educational value is not solely reflected in the transmission or indoctrination of cultural symbols, but also emphasizes activating the structural connections and interactive mechanisms between individuals and groups, driving students to form stable and resilient value expectations and interaction rules in diverse cultural and multi-context environments. Therefore, community consciousness education must focus on the situational dependency and multi-agent collaboration in the cognitive internalization process. It requires course content to simulate complex social relationship networks, embed multidimensional organizational culture, and use these to cultivate students' social cognition and behavioral adaptability.

From the perspective of course design, community consciousness education carries multiple value orientations, such as identity construction, meaning integration, and behavioral norm regulation. It serves as the psychological foundation for achieving individual role positioning and collaborative ability development within organizational systems. In the context of the rapid development of educational intelligence, the implementation of community consciousness education urgently needs to be deeply integrated with human-machine collaboration mechanisms. By leveraging artificial intelligence and big data technologies, it can enhance the frequency of interactions, the accuracy of feedback, and the cohesion of values during the learning process, thereby promoting a qualitative leap in educational effectiveness[4].

2.2 Identity Construction and Value Embedding Paths in University Course Systems

The university curriculum system, as a dual platform for knowledge transmission and cognitive structure transformation, has a function that extends beyond the mere transmission of disciplinary knowledge. It is also a key medium for generating cultural meaning and constructing value identity.

The semantic organization of course content, the structural configuration of teaching activities, and the interactive patterns in the learning process all jointly shape the pathway for students to develop a sense of identity. Taking the "Corporate Culture" course as an example, its knowledge system covers multiple layers of elements, including organizational concepts, core values, behavioral norms, and cultural forms, providing a cognitive framework capable of building students' awareness of identity layer by layer.

The identity construction process is essentially a multi-dimensional, dynamic meaning negotiation mechanism. It requires the introduction of emotional resonance and interactive experience modules into course design, enabling students to not only understand the logical structure of organizational culture on a rational level but also to internalize and self-project its symbol systems and cultural norms on emotional and behavioral levels. The value embedding path is achieved by setting key cultural nodes and using teaching strategies such as problem-driven approaches, situational simulations, and role-playing to guide students through the process of transition and deepening—from cognitive exposure to emotional resonance, and then to value internalization.

In this process, the human-machine collaboration mechanism plays a crucial technical support role. Through personalized algorithm recommendations, learning behavior analysis, and semantic adaptation technologies, the accurate delivery and dynamic matching of course content are achieved, greatly enhancing the semantic depth and structural integrity of the course. At the same time, the technology-driven interactive model enhances students' abilities to identify, integrate, and reflect within diverse cultural systems, promoting the transformation of identity from external indoctrination to a dynamic process of continuous construction and reshaping through multi-directional interactions.

2.3 Coupling Mechanism of Cultural Cognition, Identity Belonging, and Collaborative Interaction

The generation and deepening of community consciousness is a highly structured coupling process based on three core elements: cultural cognition, identity belonging, and collaborative interaction. Cultural cognition, as the carrier of the cognitive framework and symbolic system, provides the theoretical foundation for learners to understand the deep logic of organizational behavior and cultural genes. Identity belonging, on the emotional level, establishes a sense of belonging and role identification between individuals and organizations, serving as the emotional link that drives identity internalization and behavioral consistency. Collaborative interaction, as a dynamic behavioral realization mechanism, facilitates the transformation of cultural meaning into specific behavioral paths and value practices through multidimensional interaction channels and social contexts[5].

In the teaching practice of the "Corporate Culture" course, cultural cognition is input through systematic course content and diverse teaching media, covering various aspects such as organizational value concepts, behavioral symbol systems, and cultural generation mechanisms. The cultivation of identity belonging relies on teacher-student interactions, dynamic learning groups, and role-playing modules, effectively strengthening learners' emotional connections and collective identity. The collaborative interaction phase focuses on enhancing situational connections and value resonance among learners through scenario simulations, team collaboration, and multi-dimensional feedback, driving the deep internalization of community rules.

Artificial intelligence systems play a key supporting role in the above coupling mechanism. By utilizing intelligent learning behavior tracking, semantic intent analysis, and feedback optimization models, the system can accurately capture individual learning states and cognitive needs, improving participation and response accuracy in the interactive process, thus enhancing the depth of cultural cognition and the stability of identity belonging. This cognitive interaction system, mediated by technology, breaks the traditional one-way linear model of knowledge transmission and constructs a closed-loop ecology of cultural cognition, identity generation, and behavioral collaboration. It provides solid theoretical support and practical paths for community consciousness education, significantly enhancing the course's capacity and influence in complex socio-cultural contexts.

3. Integration Path Design of Human-Machine Collaboration and Community Consciousness Education in the "Corporate Culture" Course in Universities

3.1 Dynamic Embedding Model of Collaborative Elements in the Teaching Process

In the human-machine collaborative structure of the "Corporate Culture" course in universities, the

teaching process is no longer a static linear model but exhibits highly dynamic and structurally coupled operational characteristics. To effectively integrate community consciousness education content, it is necessary to embed multiple collaborative elements at various stages of course implementation, thus constructing a systematic, human-machine complementary teaching path. Collaborative elements mainly include intelligent task allocation mechanisms, multi-end interactive interface design, and real-time feedback adjustment systems, which together form the foundational architecture for human-machine interaction in the teaching process.

The dynamic adjustment of teaching components is based on the real-time capture and analysis of learner behavior data. The system can adjust the density of information delivery, feedback frequency, and interaction difficulty according to cognitive states, achieving deep synchronization between the teaching content and students' psychological rhythms. In the cultural cognition modules, the platform can introduce semantic association maps and visualized knowledge flow mechanisms, enhancing students' overall perception of the organizational culture structure. In identity recognition and belonging construction modules, through simulated scenarios, collaborative evaluation, and group discussions, learners are guided to complete role internalization and value responses within virtual communities, thereby achieving synchronized collaboration between cognitive mechanisms and interaction paths.

The dynamic embedding of collaborative elements not only fine-tunes the teaching pace and course content but also provides real-time support for teachers' teaching interventions and guidance, creating an ecosystem of human-machine co-construction and interaction co-promotion in the teaching process.

3.2 Course Module Integration Strategy under the Consistency-Oriented Teaching Goals

To effectively integrate human-machine collaboration with community consciousness education, it is essential to center the strategy around the consistency of teaching goals, re-examining the logical connections and functional integration paths between course modules. The multidimensional teaching objectives of the "Corporate Culture" course cover aspects such as knowledge transmission, cultural understanding, value construction, and identity belonging. This requires that each module forms structured collaboration in goal setting and content organization to avoid functional fragmentation or cognitive disconnection in teaching[6].

The module integration strategy can be approached from both vertical knowledge progression and horizontal cognitive transfer dimensions: In the vertical structure, a four-level progressive mechanism of "concept—system—behavior—symbol" is built with value concepts as the main thread, promoting students' cultural understanding from conceptual mastery to situational cognition and behavioral internalization. In the horizontal structure, relying on the algorithmic integration capability of the human-machine collaboration platform, the semantic mapping and path co-construction between course modules are realized. By tracking learners' data flow and cognitive trajectory identification, the course content is facilitated to move across modules and be reorganized.

The role of the human-machine system in module integration is manifested as a three-stage intervention mechanism of "adaptation—adjustment—feedback," which enables dynamic reconstruction of teaching resource allocation and students' learning paths at the system level. On this basis, the integration strategy should strengthen the logical consistency between cultural identity modules and task-oriented modules, enhancing the aggregation strength of teaching goals within students' cognitive structures.

3.3 Cognitive Evaluation Dimensions and Structural Feedback Mechanism for Integration Effectiveness

Once the integration path of teaching has been established, the cognitive evaluation system for the integration effectiveness becomes a key element for verifying the rationality of the teaching design and the effectiveness of the mechanism. Traditional evaluation methods often focus on the degree of knowledge mastery and behavioral performance. However, in the context of community consciousness education and human-machine collaboration, the evaluation dimensions should be expanded to include cultural understanding depth, value internalization level, interaction quality, and the generation of collaborative awareness, forming a multi-dimensional cognitive indicator system.

The evaluation system should be based on a design concept that combines process analysis and structural feedback. At the cognitive analysis level, through semantic analysis tools and path modeling

algorithms, the system dynamically tracks changes in learners' cognitive states during the processes of cultural concept understanding, value judgment formation, and identity recognition transformation, creating a data-driven cognitive effectiveness map. At the feedback level, a closed-loop feedback system should be introduced, enabling the teacher, platform, and students to form a shared information and feedback linkage mechanism in teaching decisions, content adjustments, and behavioral optimizations.

The structural feedback mechanism emphasizes the closed-loop logic of teaching design—cognitive performance—data feedback—system iteration, ensuring the sustainability and traceability of the teaching optimization process. Through algorithmic control and learning path adjustment, the system can make targeted corrections to teaching content, interaction methods, and task settings based on students' performance data in community consciousness building, thereby achieving a deeper integration of human-machine collaboration and community education content in the continuously optimized cognitive structure.

Conclusion

This paper systematically explores the integration path of human-machine collaborative teaching and community consciousness education in the "Corporate Culture" course in universities. It covers aspects such as course structure design, goal mechanism alignment, and cognitive evaluation system construction. The study proposes dynamic embedding of collaborative elements in the teaching process, a module integration strategy under goal consistency orientation, and a closed-loop logic of the structural feedback mechanism, constructing a teaching system where course functionality and technical capabilities are deeply integrated. The research shows that human-machine collaboration not only optimizes course operational efficiency but also provides strong support in cultural identity, value collaboration, and organizational belonging. Future research could further focus on the evolution path of human-machine collaboration mechanisms in interdisciplinary courses, cognitive evaluation models driven by multimodal data, and the analysis of individual differences in the process of student value formation, thereby expanding the applicable boundaries and theoretical depth of integrated teaching.

Funded Projects

References

[1] Duan, Y. Research on the Practical Teaching Content and Methods of the Corporate Culture Management Course. Shanxi Youth, 2025, (06): 184-186.
[2] Gao, X. Ideological and Political Teaching Design of the Corporate Culture Course Based on Immersive Teaching Theory. Journal of Inner Mongolia University of Finance and Economics, 2024, 22(03): 45-49.
[3] Lou, Y. Research and Exploration of Ideological and Political Teaching Reform of the Corporate Culture Course Based on Open Education. Journal of Gansu Radio and Television University, 2021, 31(06): 17-21.
[4] Chen, J. Research on Integrating Corporate Culture into Vocational Chinese Language Classrooms under the "Curriculum Ideology and Politics" Guideline. Modern Vocational Education, 2021, (16): 18-19.
[5] Xu, Y. Research on the Implementation of Case Teaching in the "Corporate Culture" Course Based on the CDIO Concept. Sichuan Labor and Social Security Magazine Publishing Company. Labor and

Social Security Research Conference Proceedings (Volume VII). Chengdu University of Information Engineering, School of Management, 2020: 49-50.

[6] Na, Y. Exploration of the Blended Course Reform of the "Corporate Culture" Course in Higher Vocational Education Based on Self-Determination Theory. Hebei Vocational Education, 2020, 4(04): 69-72.